Blur Aware Calibration of Multi-Focus Plenoptic Camera

Mathieu Labussière¹, Céline Teulière¹, Frédéric Bernardin² and Omar Ait-Aider¹ ¹Université Clermont-Auvergne, CNRS, SIGMA Clermont, Institut Pascal, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France, ²Cerema, Équipe-projet STI, 10 rue Bernard Palissy, F-63017 Clermont-Ferrand, France.

Micro-Lenses Array (MLA) based (Multi-focus) Plenoptic Camera

- This paper focuses on the calibration of plenoptic cameras based on a MLA placed between the main lens and the photo-sensitive sensor [1, 2].
- The MLA consists of different lens types with different focal lengths which are focused on different planes.
- Calibration aims to determine the parameters of the camera model.

Our contributions

- Our calibration method is the first:
- including a more complete model of the multi-focus plenoptic camera, ▷ working directly from raw images.
- This is achieved by introducing a new Blur Aware Plenoptic (BAP) feature defined in raw image space that enables us to handle the multi-focus case.

- The blurred image of a point on the sensor is circular in shape and is called the blur circle.
- We introduce a new Blur Aware Plenoptic (BAP) feature characterized by its center and its radius:

$$\boldsymbol{p} = (\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v},
ho)$$
 .

Our blur aware projection model:

Г –

$$\propto \mathcal{P}(i,k,l) \cdot \mathcal{T}_{\mu}(k,l) \cdot arphi \left(oldsymbol{K}(F) \cdot oldsymbol{T}_{c} \cdot oldsymbol{K}(F)
ight)$$

Main References

[1] Ren Ng et al. Light Field Photography with a Hand-held Plenoptic Camera. Tech. rep. Stanford University, 2005, pp. 1–11.



proposing a single optimization process that retrieves intrinsic and extrinsic parameters,



[2] Christian Perwaß and Lennart Wietzke. "Single Lens 3D-Camera with Extended Depth-of-Field". In: Human Vision and Electronic Imaging XVII. Vol. 49. 431. SPIE, 2012, p. 829108. [3] Charles Antoine Noury, Céline Teulière, and Michel Dhome. "Light-Field Camera Calibrational Conference on Digital Image Computing: Techniques and Applications (2017), pp. 1–8.

IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Seattle, Washington, Jun. 14-19, 2020.





en région

Our experimental setup

- ► For our experiments we use a Raytrix R12 color 3D-light-field-camera with: ▷ a mounted lens of 50mm focal length, ▷ a MLA composed of 3 micro-lens types, ▷ a pixel size of $s = 5.5 \mu m$.
- We build three datasets corresponding to three different focus distances h:
- ightarrow R12-A for h = 450 mm,
- \triangleright R12-B for h = 1000 mm,
- ▷ R12-C for $h = \infty$.

Quantitative evaluation in a controlled environment

The camera is mounted on a linear motion table with micro-metric precision. We acquired several images with known relative motion between each frame.



Conclusion

- We introduced a new Blur Aware Plenoptic (BAP) feature: b defined in raw image space that enables us to handle the multi-focus case, Exploited in our single calibration process, ▷ to retrieve parameters of a more complete camera model.
- Our calibration method is validated by qualitative experiments and quantitative evaluations.
- Our open-source code and datasets are publicly available on github.com/comsee-research.







	R12-A		R12-B		R12-C		All
Error [%]	$\overline{\epsilon}_z$	σ_z	$\overline{\epsilon}_z$	σ_z	$\overline{\epsilon}_z$	σ_z	$\overline{\epsilon}_z$
Ours	3.73	1.48	3.32	1.17	2.95	1.35	3.33
Noury et al. [3]	6.83	1.17	1.16	1.06	2.70	0.86	3.56
RxLive $(v.4.0)$	4.63	2.51	4.26	5.79	11.52	3.22	6.80

Table 1: Relative translation error (the mean error $\overline{\epsilon}_z$ and its standard deviation σ_z) along the z-axis with respect to the ground truth displacement.

Our method shows:

> a stable behavior across all datasets, ▷ the lowest mean error on all datasets.



